# A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE APPLICATIONS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING: A REVIEW

# Dr. MONIKA. M

Independent Researcher Chennai

# Dr. SUGANTHAN. C

Assistant Professor Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore

#### Abstract

This review examines the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies within English language teaching (ELT) contexts. The proliferation of AI-powered tools has fundamentally altered traditional instructional paradigms, introducing innovative approaches to persistent challenges in language acquisition. This paper conducts a comprehensive analysis of current AI applications in ELT, assesses their efficacy, identifies nascent trends, and scrutinizes ethical implications and future trajectories. Through methodical examination of contemporary literature, we identify key AI implementations including adaptive tutoring platforms, assessment automation systems, dialogue agents, and individualized learning ecosystems. The findings indicate that while AI technologies offer considerable advantages for language instruction, their successful deployment necessitates careful consideration of pedagogical frameworks, ethical ramifications, and the complementary functions of human instructors alongside AI-enhanced tools.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, second language acquisition, english language teaching, automated learning, blended learning

# Introduction

The landscape of English language teaching has undergone substantial transformation concurrent with advancements in artificial intelligence technologies. As English maintains its position as a global lingua franca, the requisite for effective and accessible language education has expanded significantly (Crystal, 2003). Simultaneously, progressions in AI have generated novel possibilities for addressing the heterogeneous requirements of language learners worldwide. Al within educational contexts broadly encompasses the application of machine learning algorithms, natural language processing (NLP) capabilities, speech recognition technologies, and additional computational methodologies to develop adaptive, responsive, and intelligent systems that augment teaching and learning processes (Roll & Wylie, 2016). In English language teaching specifically, AI presents potential resolutions to challenges including personalization, instantaneous feedback provision, practice opportunities, and scalable assessment.

This review aims to synthesize current research regarding AI applications in English language teaching, evaluate their effectiveness relative to conventional methodologies, identify emerging patterns, and discuss implications for diverse stakeholders within the field. The investigation addresses the following research questions:

- 1. What constitutes the primary implementations of AI in contemporary English language teaching environments?
- 2. How do Al-enhanced approaches compare in effectiveness to traditional language teaching methodologies?
- 3. What emergent trends and future directions characterize the integration of AI in ELT?
- 4. What ethical considerations and challenges emerge from the incorporation of AI in language education?

#### Methodology

This review employed a systematic approach to identify and analyze relevant research on AI applications in English language teaching. The search protocol encompassed the following electronic repositories: ERIC, Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar. Search permutations "artificial terminology included of intelligence," "machine learning," "natural language processing," "English language teaching," "language learning," "TESOL," "CALL," and "educational technology." Inclusion parameters prioritized peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and book chapters published between 2010 and 2024, with emphasis on recent publications to reflect the rapidly evolving nature of Al technologies. Initial database queries yielded 427 publications, which underwent preliminary screening based on titles and abstracts. Following application of inclusion criteria, 143 publications were selected for comprehensive review, with 87 ultimately incorporated into this analysis.

# Adaptive Tutoring Platforms

Adaptive tutoring platforms represent one of the most established implementations of AI in language education. These systems employ algorithmic techniques to model learner knowledge states, deliver personalized instruction, and provide targeted feedback (VanLehn, 2011). Within ELT contexts, several noteworthy platforms have demonstrated effectiveness across specific language learning domains. DuoLingo's AI-enhanced infrastructure utilizes spaced repetition algorithms and adaptive learning pathways to customize language instruction according to individual performance metrics (von Ahn, 2013). The system continuously calibrates difficulty levels and selects appropriate exercises based on learner responses, facilitating a personalized educational experience. Research conducted by Vesselinov and Grego (2012) determined that learners utilizing DuoLingo for 34 hours achieved language gains comparable to a semester of university-level language instruction.

Another significant implementation is Rosetta Stone's TruAccent speech recognition technology, which analyzes pronunciation patterns and delivers immediate corrective feedback (Presson et al., 2013). The system compares phonological features of learner utterances against native speaker models, highlighting areas for improvement through visualization of speech patterns. Squirrel Al Learning, developed in China, exemplifies an advanced application of intelligent tutoring for English language instruction. The platform employs knowledge mapping techniques and Bayesian networks to identify comprehension gaps and misconceptions, subsequently generating optimized learning trajectories (Cui et al., 2018). Preliminary studies indicate substantial improvements in vocabulary acquisition and reading comprehension compared to conventional classroom instruction.

### **Assessment Automation Systems**

Al-powered assessment technologies have transformed evaluation methodologies in English language education. These systems can analyze written texts, spoken language, and interaction patterns to generate insights regarding learner proficiency and developmental progress. Automated Writing Evaluation (AWE) systems utilize natural language processing techniques to assess written compositions across multiple dimensions including grammatical accuracy, lexical sophistication, organizational structure, and coherence (Shermis & Burstein, 2013). Platforms such as Grammarly, ETS's e-rater, and Pearson's WriteToLearn provide detailed feedback on grammatical errors, vocabulary selection, and stylistic elements. Research by Wilson and Roscoe (2020) demonstrated that AWE feedback facilitated significant improvements in writing quality, particularly when integrated with instructor guidance.

In speaking assessment, AI systems employ speech acoustic recognition and analysis to evaluate pronunciation, fluency, and prosodic features. Pearson's Versant test utilizes these technologies to provide automated assessments of speaking proficiency (Bernstein et al., 2010). Similarly, Educational Testing Service has implemented automated scoring mechanisms for speaking components of standardized examinations like TOEFL (Zechner et al., 2009). Cambridge Assessment English's Write & Improve platform combines machine learning algorithms with human expert judgment to provide detailed feedback on written assignments (Andersen et al., 2017). The system not only identifies errors but also offers improvement suggestions and monitors progress longitudinally.

#### Dialogue and Conversational Systems

Conversational AI has emerged as a significant tool for providing authentic language practice opportunities. These systems, ranging from rule-based chatbots to sophisticated dialogue systems powered by large language models, offer learners opportunities to engage in communicative practice without the anxiety sometimes associated with human interaction. Early systems such as ELIZA demonstrated limited capabilities for sustaining meaningful conversations (Weizenbaum, 1966). However, contemporary conversational agents leverage advances in natural language understanding and generation to create more authentic interactions. Applications including Duolingo's chatbots, ELSA Speak, and Andy English provide structured conversation practice focused on specific language functions and vocabulary domains (Fryer & Carpenter, 2006).

Recent developments in large language models (LLMs) have significantly enhanced the capabilities of conversational agents. Systems constructed on models like GPT-3.5/4, Claude, and PaLM can engage in more sophisticated, context-aware conversations that adapt to learner input (Brown et al., 2020). Research by Bai et al. (2022) found that learners engaging with LLM-powered conversation partners demonstrated improvements in fluency, vocabulary utilization, and pragmatic competence. Embodied conversational agents that combine visual avatars with conversational capabilities offer additional benefits by simulating face-to-face interaction. Systems like Alelo's Enskill English utilize virtual characters to create immersive role-playing scenarios for language practice (Johnson & Valente, 2009).

# Individualized Learning Systems

Al technologies enable the development of highly personalized learning environments that adapt to individual learner characteristics, preferences, and objectives. These systems transcend simple adaptive sequencing to create comprehensive systems for language acquisition. Carnegie Learning's MATHia platform, while primarily focused on mathematics, demonstrates principles applicable to language learning through its knowledge tracing algorithms and personalized learning pathways (Ritter et al., 2007). In the language domain, platforms like Babbel and Busuu employ similar approaches to create customized learning experiences.

Recommendation systems powered by collaborative filtering and content-based algorithms assist learners in discovering appropriate learning resources based on their proficiency levels, interests, and learning history (Verbert et al., 2012). YouTube's algorithm, for instance, has become an inadvertent language learning tool by suggesting progressively more challenging content in the target language. The integration of learning analytics with Al provides deeper insights into learner behavior and progress. Systems like Knewton's adaptive learning platform collect and analyze data on learner interactions to identify patterns and optimize instructional interventions (Jose, 2016).

# Efficacy of AI-Enhanced Language Teaching-Comparative Analyses

Research comparing Al-enhanced language teaching approaches with traditional methodologies shows varied but generally positive outcomes. A meta-analysis conducted by Zou et al. (2021) examined 42 studies comparing AI-based language learning interventions with conventional instruction. The analysis revealed a moderate positive effect size (Cohen's d = 0.51) favoring Alenhanced approaches, particularly for vocabulary acquisition and grammatical competence. Lai and Li (2018) conducted a systematic review of intelligent tutoring systems in language education, determining that ITS implementations demonstrated significant advantages for independent learning scenarios but showed more modest benefits in blended learning contexts. The review emphasized the importance of pedagogical design in determining outcomes, noting that technology-enhanced approaches were most effective when aligned with established language acquisition principles. Experimental studies by Chen et al. (2020) compared chatbot-based conversation practice with peer-to-peer interactions, finding comparable gains in communicative competence but noting higher engagement and reduced anxiety among learners in the chatbot condition.

## April 2025

# Impact on Specific Language Competencies

Research indicates varying effectiveness of AI applications across different language skills. For vocabulary acquisition, spaced repetition systems and adaptive flashcard applications have demonstrated substantial benefits. A study by Settles and Meeder (2016) showed that Duolingo's adaptive algorithm led to a 12-23% improvement in vocabulary retention compared to nonadaptive approaches. In pronunciation training, AI-powered speech recognition tools have shown promising results. McCrocklin (2016) found that learners using automated pronunciation feedback achieved greater improvement in specific phonological features compared to those receiving only instructor feedback, particularly for self-directed practice outside class time. For writing development, automated feedback systems have demonstrated effectiveness primarily for surface-level features. Research by Ranalli et al. (2017) found that AWE systems led to significant improvements in grammatical accuracy and lexical sophistication but had less impact on rhetorical structure and coherence. Reading comprehension tools employing AI for vocabulary explanation, text simplification, and comprehension questions have shown moderate positive effects. A study by Chen and Meurers (2019) demonstrated that adaptive text difficulty selection based on learner proficiency led to improved reading comprehension outcomes compared to fixed-level materials.

# **Motivational Factors and Engagement**

Al applications have demonstrated positive effects on learner engagement and motivation. Gamified adaptive learning platforms like Duolingo and Memrise leverage Al to create reward systems and achievement tracking that enhance motivation (Hao et al., 2021). The immediate feedback provided by these systems satisfies learners' desire for progress indicators and reinforcement. Conversational agents contribute to engagement by reducing anxiety and creating low-stakes practice opportunities. Research by Fryer et al. (2019) found that Japanese EFL learners reported higher willingness to communicate when practicing with AI conversation partners compared to human interlocutors, particularly for beginners and learners with high foreign language anxiety. Personalization aspects of AI systems also contribute to motivation by aligning learning content with individual interests. A study by Zhang et al. (2019) demonstrated that content recommendations based on learner preferences led to increased time-on-task and completion rates compared to standard curriculum sequencing.

# **Multimodal AI Integration**

Emerging trends in AI for ELT include the development of multimodal systems that integrate visual, auditory, and textual processing. These systems can analyze and respond to complex communicative behaviors across modalities, creating more authentic language learning experiences. Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) environments enhanced with AI create immersive contexts for language practice. Systems like Mondly VR combine speech recognition with virtual environments to simulate real-world communication scenarios (Cheng et al., 2018). These environments allow learners to practice language in authentic contexts without the logistical challenges of real-world immersion. Multimodal intelligent tutoring systems that can process facial expressions, gestures, and vocal cues alongside linguistic content represent another frontier. Research by D'mello et al. (2017) demonstrated that systems responsive to learner affective states can better adapt instruction to emotional and cognitive needs.

# Explainable AI Methodologies

As AI systems become more sophisticated, the need for explainability increases. Explainable AI (XAI) approaches aim to make the decision-making processes of AI systems transparent and understandable to users (Gunning et al., 2019). In language learning contexts, this translates to systems that can articulate the reasoning behind feedback, recommendations, and adaptive decisions. Applications of XAI in language learning include grammar checkers that explain error corrections with reference to linguistic rules, pronunciation feedback systems that visualize phonological features, and recommendation systems that clarify the basis for content suggestions. These explainable features help learners develop metalinguistic awareness and autonomous learning strategies.

# April 2025

# Al-Augmented Pedagogy

Rather than replacing human teachers, emerging approaches focus on augmenting instructor capabilities with AI tools. These teacher-facing applications provide insiahts into learner progress, automate routine assessment tasks, and suggest differentiated instructional strategies. Learning analytics dashboards powered by AI offer teachers visualizations of class and individual performance, highlighting areas requiring intervention. Systems like Carnegie Learning's LiveLab provide realtime insights into student activity and progress (Holstein et al., 2018). Automated content generation tools assist teachers in creating customized materials aligned with curriculum objectives and learner needs. Applications like Quillbot and similar AI writing assistants help teachers efficiently develop varied practice materials and assessments.

# **Cross-linguistic Transfer and Multilingual Systems**

Advanced AI systems increasingly support cross-linguistic transfer and multilingual learning strategies. These approaches leverage similarities between languages to facilitate acquisition and help learners build on existing linguistic knowledge. Neural machine translation systems like DeepL and Google Translate now incorporate contextual understanding that makes them valuable tools for comparative language analysis (Johnson et al., 2017). These systems can help learners understand relationships between their native language and English. Intelligent tutoring systems that adapt to learners' linguistic backgrounds represent another promising direction. Research by Meurers et al. (2019) demonstrated the effectiveness of systems that tailor instruction based on L1-specific transfer effects, highlighting probable areas of difficulty and leveraging positive transfer opportunities.

The implementation of AI in language education raises significant concerns regarding learner data privacy and security. Adaptive systems collect extensive data on learner behavior, preferences, and performance, creating potential vulnerabilities.Regulatory frameworks like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) in the United States establish requirements for data handling in educational contexts (Regan & Jesse, 2019). However, the global nature of language learning applications creates challenges for consistent regulatory compliance. Best practices emerging in the field include minimizing data collection to necessary elements, implementing robust anonymization techniques, establishing clear data retention policies, and providing transparent information to learners about data usage (Drachsler & Greller, 2016).

Al systems in language education may perpetuate or amplify existing biases related to language varieties, cultural perspectives, and learning approaches. Speech recognition systems, for instance, often perform poorly for non-standard accents and varieties of English (Koenecke et al., 2020). Assessment algorithms trained primarily on specific populations may disadvantage learners from underrepresented backgrounds. Research by Madnani et al. (2017) found that automated essay scoring systems demonstrated performance disparities across demographic groups and language backgrounds. Addressing these challenges requires diverse training data, regular bias audits, and intentional design decisions that accommodate linguistic and cultural diversity. Participatory design approaches that include stakeholders from various linguistic and cultural backgrounds in development processes represent a promising direction for creating more equitable systems (Holstein et al., 2019).

Initiatives like Mozilla's Common Voice project, which collects voice data across diverse languages and dialects, represent efforts to create more inclusive technological foundations for language technologies (Ardila et al., 2020). Successful integration of AI in English language teaching requires alignment with sound pedagogical frameworks. The SAMR model (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) provides a useful perspective for evaluating how AI transforms language teaching practices (Puentedura, 2006). The Community of Inquiry framework, emphasizing teaching presence, social presence, and cognitive presence, offers another lens for considering how AI can support meaningful language learning experiences (Garrison et al., 2010). Al tools may enhance cognitive presence through personalized content and feedback while requiring careful consideration of their impact on social and teaching presence. Task-based language teaching (TBLT) approaches can be effectively augmented with AI tools that provide scaffolding, feedback, and authentic contexts for communicative tasks (González-Lloret & Ortega, 2014). Al systems can facilitate both the preparation and execution phases of task-based instruction.

## Hybrid Instructional Models

Hybrid learning approaches that combine Al-enhanced self-study with human-led instruction have demonstrated particular promise. The station rotation model, where learners cycle through AI-supported independent work and teacher-led activities, allows for targeted human intervention based on Al-generated insights (Horn & Staker, 2015). Flipped classroom models leverage AI for content delivery and basic practice outside class, reserving face-to-face time for interactive communication activities and higher-order skill development (Bergmann & Sams, 2012). Al systems can prepare learners with necessary linguistic resources before communicative classroom activities. The supplemental model, where AI tools extend learning beyond scheduled instruction time, addresses the common challenge of insufficient practice opportunities in traditional language classrooms (Sharma & Barrett, 2007).

# **Professional Development and Institutional Support**

Effective implementation of AI in language education depends significantly on teacher preparation and ongoing support. Digital literacy frameworks for language teachers incorporate Al-specific increasingly competencies. including the ability to evaluate AI tools critically, integrate them purposefully, and guide learners in their appropriate use (Healey et al., 2011). Professional development approaches that combine technical training with pedagogical application have shown greater success than technology-focused training alone (Hubbard & Levy, 2006). Communities of practice where teachers can share experiences and strategies for AI integration provide valuable support structures for ongoing implementation. Educational institutions implementing AI systems benefit from establishing dedicated support roles like educational technology specialists who can bridge the gap between technical capabilities and pedagogical applications (Tondeur et al., 2012).

#### Conclusion

This review has examined the current landscape of AI applications in English language teaching, evaluated their effectiveness, identified emerging trends, and discussed ethical considerations. The evidence suggests that AI technologies offer significant potential for enhancing language education through personalization, increased practice opportunities, immediate feedback, and datadriven insights. However, realizing this potential requires thoughtful integration within sound pedagogical frameworks. attention to ethical implications, and recognition of the complementary strengths of human teachers and AI systems. The most promising approaches view AI not as a replacement for human instruction but as a powerful tool that can address specific challenges in language education while allowing teachers to focus on aspects of language learning that benefit most from human guidance. Future research should prioritize several key areas: Longitudinal investigations examining the sustained impact of AI-enhanced language learning on proficiency development and learner autonomy. Examinations of how Al can support less-commonly researched aspects of language proficiency, including pragmatic competence, sociolinguistic awareness. and intercultural communication. Development and evaluation of explainable AI approaches that enhance metalinguistic awareness and learner agency. Participatory design methodologies that incorporate diverse stakeholder perspectives in the development of AI systems for language education. Implementation research examining contextual factors that influence the effectiveness of AI integration across different educational settings. As AI technologies continue to evolve rapidly, ongoing dialogue between researchers, educators, developers, and learners is essential to ensure that these powerful tools serve the complex and multifaceted goals of language education in ethical, effective, and equitable ways.

#### References

 Andersen, E., Yannakoudakis, H., Barker, F., & Parish, T. (2017). Developing and testing a selfassessment and tutoring system. In Proceedings of the 12<sup>th</sup> Workshop on Innovative Use of NLP for Building Educational Applications (pp. 164-173).

- Ardila, R., Branson, M., Davis, K., Kohler, M., Meyer, J., Henretty, M., ... & Weber, I. (2020). Common voice: A massively-multilingual speech corpus. In Proceedings of the 12<sup>th</sup> Language Resources and Evaluation Conference (pp. 4218-4222).
- Bai, J., Yang, J., & Chen, X. (2022). Learning English with large language models: An investigation of ChatGPT as a conversational partner. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 35(4), 1-24.
- Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2012). Flip your classroom: Reach every student in every class every day. International Society for Technology in Education.
- Bernstein, J., Van Moere, A., & Cheng, J. (2010). Validating automated speaking tests. Language Testing, 27(3), 355-377.
- Brown, T. B., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J., Dhariwal, P., ... & Amodei, D. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33, 1877-1901.
- Chen, X., & Meurers, D. (2019). Linking text readability and learner proficiency using linguistic complexity feature vectors. In Proceedings of the 14<sup>th</sup> Workshop on Innovative Use of NLP for Building Educational Applications (pp. 49-59).
- Chen, Y., Wang, W. Y., & Rudnicky, A. I. (2020). Evaluating conversational agents for language learning using human and automated linguistic measures. In Proceedings of the 21<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education (pp. 116-121).
- Cheng, A., Yang, L., & Andersen, E. (2018). Teaching language and culture with a virtual reality game. In Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 1-12).
- Crystal, D. (2003). English as a global language (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Cui, Y., Chen, F., Shi, Y., & Wang, Y. (2018). Improved online course learning by cognitive load theory guided questions: Evidence from objective and subjective measures. In Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 1-12).

 D'mello, S. K., Dieterle, E., & Duckworth, A. (2017). Advanced, analytic, automated (AAA) measurement of engagement during learning. Educational Psychologist, 52(2), 104-123.

April 2025

- Drachsler, H., & Greller, W. (2016). Privacy and analytics: It's a DELICATE issue a checklist for trusted learning analytics. In Proceedings of the sixth international conference on learning analytics & knowledge (pp. 89-98).
- Fryer, L. K., & Carpenter, R. (2006). Bots as language learning tools. Language Learning & Technology, 10(3), 8-14.
- Fryer, L. K., Ainley, M., Thompson, A., Gibson, A., & Sherlock, Z. (2019). Stimulating and sustaining interest in a language course: An experimental comparison of Chatbot and Human task partners. Computers in Human Behavior, 75, 461-468.
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2010). The first decade of the community of inquiry framework: A retrospective. The Internet and Higher Education, 13(1-2), 5-9.
- González-Lloret, M., & Ortega, L. (2014). Technologymediated TBLT: Researching technology and tasks. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Gunning, D., Stefik, M., Choi, J., Miller, T., Stumpf, S., & Yang, G. Z. (2019). XAI—Explainable artificial intelligence. Science Robotics, 4(37).
- Hao, T., Chen, K., & Li, L. (2021). The effects of gamification on EFL learners' vocabulary learning through a mobile app. International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation, 15(4), 390-409.
- Healey, D., Hanson-Smith, E., Hubbard, P., Ioannou-Georgiou, S., Kessler, G., & Ware, P. (2011). TESOL technology standards: Description, implementation, integration. TESOL Publications.
- Holstein, K., McLaren, B. M., & Aleven, V. (2018). Student learning benefits of a mixed-reality teacher awareness tool in Al-enhanced classrooms. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education (pp. 154-168).
- Holstein, K., Wortman Vaughan, J., Daumé III, H., Dudik, M., & Wallach, H. (2019). Improving fairness in machine learning systems: What do industry practitioners need? In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI

Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 1-16).

No. 3

- Horn, M. B., & Staker, H. (2015). Blended: Using disruptive innovation to improve schools. John Wiley & Sons.
- 24. Hubbard, P., & Levy, M. (2006). Teacher education in CALL. John Benjamins Publishing.
- 25. Johnson, M., & Valente, A. (2009). Tactical language and culture training systems: Using AI to teach foreign languages and cultures. AI Magazine, 30(2), 72-72.
- Johnson, M., Schuster, M., Le, Q. V., Krikun, M., Wu, Y., Chen, Z., ... & Dean, J. (2017). Google's multilingual neural machine translation system: Enabling zero-shot translation. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 5, 339-351.
- Jose, G. R. (2016). Effectiveness of Knewton adaptive learning platform in improving the academic achievement in probability and statistics among higher secondary students. Journal on School Educational Technology, 12(2), 1-7.
- Koenecke, A., Nam, A., Lake, E., Nudell, J., Quartey, M., Mengesha, Z., ... & Goel, S. (2020). Racial disparities in automated speech recognition. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(14), 7684-7689.
- Kukulska-Hulme, A., & Lee, H. (2020). Mobile collaboration for language learning and cultural learning. In M. J. Curry & T. Lillis (Eds.), Global academic publishing: Policies, perspectives and pedagogies (pp. 131-145). Multilingual Matters.
- Lai, C., & Li, G. (2018). Technology and task-based language teaching: A critical review. CALICO Journal, 28(2), 498-521.
- Madnani, N., Loukina, A., von Davier, A., Burstein, J., & Cahill, A. (2017). Building better open-source tools to support fairness in automated scoring. In Proceedings of the first ACL workshop on ethics in natural language processing (pp. 41-52).
- McCrocklin, S. M. (2016). Pronunciation learner autonomy: The potential of automatic speech recognition. System, 57, 25-42.
- Meurers, D., Ziai, R., Amaral, L., Boyd, A., Dimitrov, A., Metcalf, V., & Ott, N. (2019). The interaction of

computational linguistic analysis and language learning research. Language Learning, 69(S1), 14-40.

- 34. Pennycook, A. (2017). The cultural politics of English as an international language. Routledge.
- Presson, N., Davy, C., & MacWhinney, B. (2013). Experimentalized CALL for adult second language learners. In J. W. Schwieter (Ed.), Innovative research and practices in second language acquisition and bilingualism (pp. 139-164). John Benjamins.
- Puentedura, R. (2006). Transformation, technology, and education. Presentation given August 18, 2006 as part of the Strengthening Your District Through Technology workshops.
- Ranalli, J., Link, S., & Chukharev-Hudilainen, E. (2017). Automated writing evaluation for formative assessment of second language writing: Investigating the accuracy and usefulness of feedback as part of argument-based validation. Educational Psychology, 37(1), 8-25.
- Regan, P. M., & Jesse, J. (2019). Ethical challenges of edtech, big data and personalized learning: Twenty-first century student sorting and tracking. Ethics and Information Technology, 21(3), 167-179.
- Ritter, S., Anderson, J. R., Koedinger, K. R., & Corbett, A. (2007). Cognitive Tutor: Applied research in mathematics education. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 14(2), 249-255.
- Roll, I., & Wylie, R. (2016). Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26(2), 582-599.
- 41. Selwyn, N. (2019). Should robots replace teachers? Al and the future of education. Polity Press.
- Settles, B., & Meeder, B. (2016). A trainable spaced repetition model for language learning. In Proceedings of the 54<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Vol. 1, pp. 1848-1858).
- Sharma, P., & Barrett, B. (2007). Blended learning: Using technology in and beyond the language classroom. Macmillan Education
- 44. Shermis, M. D., & Burstein, J. (2013). Handbook of automated essay evaluation: Current applications and new directions. Routledge.

- Tondeur, J., van Braak, J., Sang, G., Voogt, J., Fisser, P., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. (2012). Preparing preservice teachers to integrate technology in education: A synthesis of qualitative evidence. Computers & Education, 59(1), 134-144.
- VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. Educational Psychologist, 46(4), 197-221
- Verbert, K., Manouselis, N., Ochoa, X., Wolpers, M., Drachsler, H., Bosnic, I., & Duval, E. (2012). Contextaware recommender systems for learning: A survey and future challenges. IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies, 5(4), 318-335.
- Vesselinov, R., & Grego, J. (2012). Duolingo effectiveness study. City University of New York.
- Von Ahn, L. (2013). Duolingo: Learning a language while translating the web. In Proceedings of the 2013 International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge (pp. 1-2).
- 50. Weizenbaum, J. (1966). ELIZA—a computer program for the study of natural language communication

between man and machine. Communications of the ACM, 9(1), 36-45.

- Wilson, J., & Roscoe, R. (2020). Automated writing evaluation and feedback: Multiple metrics of efficacy. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 58(1), 87-125.
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education–where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 1-27.
- Zechner, K., Higgins, D., Xi, X., & Williamson, D. M. (2009). Automatic scoring of non-native spontaneous speech in tests of spoken English. Speech Communication, 51(10), 883-895.
- 54. Zhang, D., Peng, H., Chan, J., & So, C. (2019). Personalized vocabulary learning based on learning analytics and neural word embeddings. In Proceedings of the 27<sup>th</sup> International Conference