



# Social Media Linguistics and Literary Authority: Democratisation/New Hierarchies

**Dr. S. Zahira Banu**

*Associate Professor of English, Sri Meenakshi Government Arts College for Women (A), Madurai, Tamil Nadu*



Manuscript ID:  
BIJ-SPL1-OCT25-ML-028

Subject: English

Received : 17.07.2025

Accepted : 28.07.2025

Published : 31.10.2025

DOI: 10.64938/bijsi.v10si1.25.Oct028

Copy Right:



This work is licensed under  
a Creative Commons Attribution-  
ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

## Abstract

*This article examines the intricate relationship between social media linguistics and literary authority, exploring whether the rise of social media platforms leads to a democratisation of literary discourse or the establishment of new hierarchies. It will consider how social media impacts language, authorial voice, and reading practices, while also investigating the linguistic features that characterise online discussions. By analysing these dynamics, the article aims to determine whether social media fosters a more inclusive and diverse literary landscape or reshapes existing power structures within the literary world. The research reveals a complex landscape where traditional literary curators have been partially displaced while new forms of authority emerge through algorithmic amplification, viral mechanics, and platform-specific capital accumulation. The findings suggest that while social media has lowered barriers to linguistic participation and literary expression, it has simultaneously created novel power structures that may be more opaque and potentially exclusionary than traditional literary institutions.*

**Keywords:** social media linguistics, literary authority, democratisation, algorithmic amplification

## Introduction

Dynamism is the key to the growth of any language. Language continues to develop, and the only difference in the present scenario is that it is widespread, intense and swift due to the presence of social media. Linguistic innovation and literary expression have become the norm as social media platforms provide access to experimentation and innovation. Popular platforms like X, Instagram, YouTube and others have transformed how language is produced and disseminated. They serve as spaces where individuals can explore their creativity in the use of language and with varied forms of literature. They express their opinions and engage in discussions about literature. Furthermore, there is scope for legitimising and challenging the traditional

idea of literary authority and linguistic standardisation. This shift raises pertinent questions about whether these digital spaces offer as platforms of democratising and privileging marginal voices or whether they establish new hierarchies of influence, authority and exclusion.

Traditionally, the linguistic and literary authority has been centred on publishing houses, academic bodies, media organisations and other cultural curators. These bodies determined which voices to be heard and which linguistic norms should be prescribed. Social media platforms offer spaces for overcoming these power structures and provide opportunities for individuals and communities to publish, share and influence literary and linguistic innovations on a global level.



However, the democratisation of these platforms appears to be surface-level strategies that may conceal the onset of new forms of hierarchy based on algorithmic visibility, number of followers, engagement metrics and platform-specific cultural capital. This article examines whether social media truly distributes linguistic and literary authority more equitably, or whether it simply reconfigures existing power dynamics in less visible but no less significant ways.

### Literature Review

Recent studies in digital sociolinguistics have identified social media platforms as important spaces for linguistic creativity and community development. Androutsopoulos shows that environments of networked communication lead to consistent linguistic changes, where constraints specific to platforms foster innovation in grammar, word selection, and communication tactics (185). In a similar vein, Zappavigna's examination of Twitter discourse shows that character constraints and hashtags generate innovative types of linguistic unity and group association (78-92).

Studies on language democratisation indicate that digital platforms reduce obstacles to linguistic engagement by allowing varied voices to circumvent conventional gatekeeping entities. Seargeant and Tagg contend that social media fosters "democratic" linguistic environments in which marginalised language varieties can achieve visibility that was formerly absent in traditional media (23). Studies indicate that regional dialects, multilingual hybrids, and African American Vernacular English are gaining traction on social media, challenging institutional linguistic power and the ideology of standard language. (Jones et al. 142-156).

Nonetheless, new studies on algorithmic impact indicate possible opposing factors. Brock's analysis of algorithmic systems reveals that platform recommendation engines preferentially elevate specific linguistic styles while sidelining others, establishing novel mechanisms of linguistic standardisation driven by engagement metrics instead of institutional power (89-104). Noble's

examination of search algorithms uncovers inherent biases that can disadvantage specific linguistic varieties while favouring others, indicating that digital platforms might perpetuate rather than eradicate linguistic hierarchies (67-78).

The literary authority has typically been perceived through institutional structures that validate specific texts, authors, and language practices while sidelining others. Bourdieu's idea of cultural capital offers a fundamental insight into how literary value is created and preserved through social influence networks, educational systems, and class structures. Historical gatekeepers—publishers, critics, educators, and cultural institutions—have traditionally influenced which works attain canonical recognition and which linguistic practices are deemed standard or esteemed (241-258).

Recent studies in digital linguistics have recorded the swift transformation of language usage on social media platforms. Crystal's work on internet linguistics demonstrates how digital communication has created new registers, genres, and communicative norms that challenge traditional linguistic hierarchies. The emergence of platform-specific languages, from Twitter's character-limited brevity to TikTok's video-text hybridity, represents a fundamental shift in how language functions in social contexts (15-32).

Theoretical frameworks around digital democratisation suggest that online platforms can reduce barriers to participation, amplify marginalised voices, and challenge traditional power structures. Jenkins' idea of participatory culture highlights how new kinds of cultural production and distribution that circumvent established gatekeepers are made possible by digital media.. However, critics argue that apparent democratisation may mask new forms of inequality based on digital access, algorithmic bias, and platform control (1-15).

Recent research has increasingly focused on how algorithmic systems create new forms of authority and influence. Noble shows how ostensibly neutral technical systems can repeat and magnify preexisting social hierarchies in his work on algorithms of oppression. Van Dijk's analysis of



platform society reveals how social media companies exercise unprecedented control over information flow and cultural production through their algorithmic and economic structures (45-78).

Despite significant advances in digital sociolinguistics, existing research lacks comprehensive frameworks for understanding the relationship between linguistic democratisation and standardisation in social media environments. Most studies focus on either innovative aspects of digital language use or standardising influences, without examining how these apparently contradictory processes interact within the same technological systems.

## Methods

### Objectives of the Study

The following objectives offer a roadmap to the study:

1. To investigate how social media platforms have impacted the concept of language and literary authority.
2. To examine the tension between democratisation and literary authority and how this affects the literary landscape.

Research questions:

1. How do social media platforms influence the way language and literary authority are constructed, perceived, and disseminated?
2. In what ways do social media platforms democratise literary authority, and what are the limitations and challenges of this democratisation?
3. How do new hierarchies emerge on social media platforms, and what role do algorithms, influencers, and online communities play in shaping literary authority?
4. What are the implications of social media-driven literary authority for traditional notions of literary value, canon formation, and literary criticism?

## Hypotheses

Social Media platforms have not only democratised the use of language and literary authority, allowing new voices to emerge, but also created new

hierarchies that reinforce the existing power structures.

## Research Design

This study employs an ethnographic research method based on the researchers' engagement with younger generation language users and the background of teaching language and literature for two decades. Ethnographic research, or ethnography, is a qualitative research method that focuses on understanding people and cultures through in-depth observation and interaction within their natural environments. It involves immersing oneself in the lives of the subjects being studied to gain a comprehensive understanding of their behaviours, beliefs, and social interactions. The primary goal of ethnographic research is to gain an "emic perspective". The emic perspective refers to understanding a culture from the viewpoint of an insider, focusing on the internal perceptions, meanings, and experiences of individuals within that culture.

## Analysis and Findings

It is intriguing to contemplate the vast array of linguistic styles that the internet offers. The internet delivers a wide spectrum of linguistic diversity, encompassing blogs, chat rooms, various types of films, and social media platforms. These all differ in terms of formality, regional appeal and participation, jargon, and general audience. Furthermore, the multimodal affordance that social media platforms provide has totally changed the trajectory of communication. Language has become predominantly visual by the use of emoticons, images, GIFs and videos. The abbreviations and acronyms used while texting have now entered the terrain of spoken language, too. The democratisation of information is made possible since the majority have access to social media.

## Linguistic Democratisation: Evidence and Limitations

Social media platforms demonstrate evidence of linguistic democratisation in several key aspects.



Users from diverse backgrounds, regardless of their institutional affiliation or traditional cultural capital, have gained accelerated access to linguistic innovations. The wider popularity and significance of African American Vernacular English were mainly through social media. The vocabulary and expressions of these coloured communities have gained prominence in mainstream usage across demographic boundaries.

Similarly, marginalised communities have used social media to popularise their own linguistic practices, paving the way for legitimising them. Vocabulary that centres on gender identity, sexual orientation has been crafted and transmitted through social media by the LGBTQ+ communities. Immigrant communities propagate hybrid linguistic models that blend multiple languages and cultural traditions, thereby initiating code-switching and code-meshing as techniques of innovation and resistance. These pioneering and new forms of language use have been widespread and circulated without the need for any institutional approval.

Creation of new meanings, modification of the existing ones, and completely new forms of expression have reached the mainstream through the collaborative efforts of the users. Hashtags, memes, and viral trends, which are indicative of the collaborative approach of social media, have enabled the dissemination of collective linguistic expressions. This is in stark contrast to the top-down approach of linguistic norms that has been in vogue traditionally. Renowned speakers, writers or other eminent figures alone had the privilege of innovating the language, and that was further passed on to the general usage.

However, the inherent limitations that the outwardly democratic social media possess cannot be denied. Content published by users with a strong online presence and influential status is prioritised by the algorithms of the respective platforms while marginalising others. The wider reach of platforms may pave the way for linguistic standardisation, thereby overlooking the vernacular linguistic diversity and positioning dominant languages and cultural practices.

### **New Forms of Literary Authority**

The influence of social media does not stop with experimenting and innovating languages alone. It has also produced and popularised new forms of literature. The traditional notions of literary authority, distribution, and cultural value have been disintegrated and have given rise to the development of new literary forms. It has created new avenues for literary influence that bypass conventional publishing structures. Forms like Slam poetry, Insta poetry, Blackout or Erasure Poetry, Micro drama, Micro fiction and a host of other forms have been popularised through social media. Writers like Rupi Kaur, who has a massive following through her Insta poetry on Instagram, remain proof that traditional publication is not the only means to give shape to their creative sparks. Platforms like Wattpad and Medium have users who have wide reach and substantial readerships without traditional publication procedures. These success stories suggest that social media enables new forms of literary authority based on direct audience engagement rather than institutional validation.

These new forms of literature have democratised creative expression and have striking dissimilarities with existing literary genres. They possess multiple traits that set them apart from conventional literary forms: they emphasise ease of access rather than scholarly intricacy, adopt digital means of distribution, and clearly focus on marginalised perspectives and experiences. More importantly, they act as spaces of resistance not only through their themes but also through their mere presence as alternative modes of cultural creation that confront traditional literary hierarchies and monitoring systems.

The metrics-focused aspect of social media sites generates new standards of literary worth determined by likes, shares, comments, and follower numbers. This measured method of literary evaluation contrasts sharply with conventional critical assessment, possibly democratising the determination of literary value by basing it on audience reaction instead of expert opinion.



Nonetheless, these recent types of authority might prove to be more unstable and less lasting than conventional literary recognition. Literary success on social media frequently relies on sustaining ongoing audience interaction and adjusting to evolving platform algorithms, generating pressure for relentless content creation that can clash with conventional ideas of literary skill and profundity.

### **Platform-Specific Hierarchies**

Every social media platform creates its unique hierarchy of influence and power determined by its specific features and cultural context. Platform X's character restrictions and instantaneous format favour brief, clever communication and quick reactions to ongoing occurrences. Proficiency in these skills is essential to build a substantial ecosystem that creates sustainable followers. However, this influence cannot be transferred to other platforms or contexts.

The combination of textual and visual elements in platforms like Instagram, which are algorithm-driven and video-centric, creates different criteria for linguistic and literary authority. Textual skills are relegated, and visual creativity, trend participation, and algorithmic optimisation are privileged. Platforms like Instagram have given rise to visual poetry that has blurred the boundaries of genres with its new hybrid forms and aesthetically curated literary content.

The hierarchies specific to platforms reveal that social media has expanded and diversified authority structures rather than removing them. Users must pay attention to different sets of norms, expectations, and success metrics across platforms, potentially creating more complex, rather than more democratic, systems of cultural authority.

### **Algorithmic Mediation and Invisible Access Control**

Algorithmic mediation has become an invisible monitoring system that propagates or limits visibility and influence. Although social media platforms can circumvent institutional literary arbitrators, they have created a more subtle form of a monitoring

mechanism. Algorithms are trained to determine which content should be visible and which content should remain obscure, thus serving as a new form of editorial and surveillance control.

The lack of transparency in these algorithmic systems generates new inequalities, as individuals with the expertise or means to comprehend and adjust algorithms benefit more than those who lack such access. This technical surveillance might be more opaque and consequently less accountable than conventional editorial processes.

### **Economic Dimensions of Digital Literary Authority**

Social media networks have developed new economic models for literary and linguistic endeavours through monetisation options, sponsored posts, and direct support systems from fans. These financial prospects can provide creators with independence, potentially reducing their reliance on conventional publishing systems.

Nonetheless, the monetisation of social media content also generates pressure to enhance engagement and appeal instead of focusing on artistic or intellectual value. The necessity to capture audience attention in competitive attention markets may drive the creation of sensational or simplistic content rather than more intricate or demanding material. The financial instability of careers dependent on social media fosters new dependencies on platform regulations and audience validation, which could restrict the autonomy that true democratisation requires.

### **Discussion**

#### **The Democratization Paradox**

The analysis highlights the inherent paradox in social media's impact on linguistic and literary authority. On the one hand, these platforms have granted access and participation invariably to everyone; on the other hand, they have formed new forms of hierarchy and exclusion. This paradox implies that democratisation and hierarchy are not opposite entities but create competitive dynamics that designate influence to





those skilled in the nuances of the platform-specific requirements and systems.

### Implications for Language Change

The acceleration of linguistic innovation through social media has significant implications for language change processes. Traditional models of language change emphasised gradual diffusion through social networks and communities. Social media enables nearly instantaneous global circulation of linguistic innovations, potentially accelerating language change while also creating new forms of linguistic standardisation.

The global reach of major platforms may also create new forms of linguistic imperialism, where dominant languages and cultural practices spread rapidly through digital networks, potentially threatening linguistic diversity even as they enable innovation within dominant languages.

### Conclusion

This analysis shows that the effect of social media on language use and literary power cannot be easily defined as either democratisation or the establishment of new hierarchies. Rather, these platforms create intricate systems that allow new modes of participation while also establishing unique frameworks of inequality and exclusion. The visible democratisation of social media conceals the rise of algorithmic control, platform-oriented hierarchies, and novel methods of wealth accumulation that could be less clear and accountable than conventional systems. Although social media has certainly allowed previously marginalised voices to gain substantial influence and has sped up linguistic innovation, it has also established new competitive dynamics and dependencies that could eventually reconsolidate power in different yet equally concerning ways. The consequences of this analysis move further than scholarly curiosity to real issues regarding cultural diversity, linguistic equity, and democratic engagement in the digital era. New forms of digital literacy, access to technology, and cultural awareness are crucial for meaningful participation, possibly creating new challenges even as older ones

are resolved. Understanding how authority operates in social media contexts is vital for developing policies and practices that can harness the democratizing potential of these platforms while minimising their tendency to create new inequalities. Future research must continue to observe the development of these systems, especially as artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies advance and as the economic frameworks of social media platforms evolve. The connection among linguistic practices, literary authority, and digital technologies will likely remain an important field of study as these systems continue to transform human communication and cultural creation. The challenge ahead is not merely to applaud or criticise social media's influence on language and literature, but to cultivate deeper insights that can guide initiatives aimed at establishing more authentically democratic and inclusive frameworks of cultural authority. This necessitates continuous focus on the possibilities and constraints of digital platforms, along with persistent endeavours to guarantee that technological advancements promote wider objectives of social justice and cultural diversity.

### References

1. Androutsopoulos, Jannis. "Networked Multilingualism: Some Language Practices on Facebook and Their Implications." *International Journal of Bilingualism*, vol. 19, no. 2, June 2013, pp. 185–205. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367006913489198>.
2. Bourdieu, Pierre. "The Forms of Capital." *Handbook of Theory and Research for the Sociology of Education*, edited by J. G. Richardson, Greenwood Press, 1986, pp. 241–58.
3. Brock, André. *Distributed Blackness: African American Cybercultures*. NYU Press, 2020.
4. Crystal, David. *Internet Linguistics: A Student Guide*. Routledge, 2011, [api.pageplace.de/preview/DT0400.9781136825590\\_A23881217/preview-9781136825590\\_A23881217.pdf](http://api.pageplace.de/preview/DT0400.9781136825590_A23881217/preview-9781136825590_A23881217.pdf).
5. Di Marco, N., et al. "Patterns of Linguistic Simplification on Social Media Platforms Over



- Time." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 121, no. 50, Dec. 2024, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2412105121>.
6. Eisenstein, Jacob. "What to Do about Bad Language on the Internet." *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT*, 2013, pp. 359-369.
7. "Experimental Poetry Forms | American Literature – 1860 to Present Class Notes | Fiveable." *Fiveable*, [library.fiveable.me/american-literature-since-1860/unit-4/experimental-poetry-forms/study-guide/c5QgUC4DBn5T1g8L](https://library.fiveable.me/american-literature-since-1860/unit-4/experimental-poetry-forms/study-guide/c5QgUC4DBn5T1g8L).
8. Georgakopoulou, Alexandra. "Digital Storytelling and Narrative Analysis: New Stories or  
9. New Tools?" *The Routledge Handbook of Language and Digital Communication*, edited by Caroline Tagg et al., Routledge, 2017, pp. 263-278.
10. Jenkins, Henry. *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. NYU Press, 2006.
11. *JSTOR*, [http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9\\_qffwr](http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9_qffwr). Accessed 13 July 2025.
12. Jones, Graham M., et al. "When Friends Who Talk Together Stalk Together: Online Gossip as Metacommunication." *Oxford University Press eBooks*, 2011, pp. 26–47. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199795437.003.0002>
13. Noble, Safiya Umoja. *Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism*. NYU Press, 2018.
14. Rota, T. M. A. (2025, March 11). *Emic and etic*. Open Encyclopedia of Anthropology. <https://www.anthroencyclopedia.com/entry/emic-and-etic>
15. Seargeant, Philip, and Caroline Tagg, editors. *The Language of Social Media: Identity and  
16. Community on the Internet*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.
17. Sharma, Devyani. "Style Dominance: Attention, Audience, and the 'Real Me.'" *Language in Society*, vol. 47, no. 1, Jan. 2018, pp. 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0047404517000835>.
18. Sultana, Shaila. "Young Adults' Linguistic Manipulation of English in Bangla in Bangladesh." *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, vol. 17, no. 1, Nov. 2012, pp. 74–89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2012.738644>.
19. Van Dijck, Jose. *The Culture of Connectivity*. 2013, <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199970773.001.0001>.
20. Zappavigna, Michele. "Discourse of Twitter and Social Media: How We Use Language to Create Affiliation on the Web." *Unsw*, Jan. 2025, [www.academia.edu/18311721/Discourse\\_of\\_Twitter\\_and\\_Social\\_Media\\_How\\_we\\_use\\_language\\_to\\_create\\_affiliation\\_on\\_the\\_web](http://www.academia.edu/18311721/Discourse_of_Twitter_and_Social_Media_How_we_use_language_to_create_affiliation_on_the_web).