Bodhi International Journal of
Research in Humanities, Arts and Science

Vol. 10 No. 1 October 2025
E-ISSN: 2456-5571

Literary Chronicles of Survival: Endurance, Creativity, and the Human Spirit

Dr. S. Sumithra Devi

Assistant Professor, Department of English, T.K.M. College of Arts and Science, Kollam, Kerala

Open Access

Manuscript ID: BIJ-2025-OCT-009

Subject: English

Received : 25.08.2025
Accepted : 08.09.2025
Published : 31.10.2025

DOI:10.64938/bijri.v10n1.25.Oct009

Abstract

The recent global pestilence of COVID-19 has profoundly reshaped the contours of twenty-first-century human experience. The collective sorrow and shared adversity engendered by this event have underscored the essentiality of human solidarity and concerted action. Simultaneously, this period of crisis has catalysed a profound introspection on the delicate and often obscured strata of human experience that typically inform our quotidian existence. In this context, literary expression has provided humanity with an alternate epistemic space, transcending geographical and cultural divides to forge a shared understanding of collective suffering. This paper posits that literature has, in effect, functioned as a hermeneutic antidote to the ravages of pestilential outbreaks, with a particular focus on the oeuvre of William Shakespeare. The Shakespearean genius, having himself endured the calamitous impact on his theatrical enterprise, left behind a rich repository of insights and reflections on the plague that maintain a striking resonance with the challenges posed by COVID-19 centuries later. By examining these historical narratives, the analysis will highlight how preeminent thinkers of past eras not only persevered through devastating plagues but emerged with intellectual triumphs, demonstrating the profound capacity for survival and creative fortitude in the face of human agony.

Keywords: Agony, antidote, hermeneutics, pestilence, survival.

The quintessential hallmark of a pandemic is the pervasive and profound suffering, both corporeal and psychological. The palpable dread of an unseen, lethal adversary, poised to invade and decimate, transcends the mere fear of mortality itself. A poignant, if not definitive, explanation for this existential plight emerges not from the empirical disciplines of virology or epidemiology, but from the eternal wisdom of William Shakespeare, whose immortal character in King Lear, Gloucester, articulates a chilling fatalism: “As flies to wanton boys are we to the gods / they kill us for their sport” (4.1.1000). The relentless sweep of COVID-19 evoked the chilling spectre of a dystopian nightmare and the herald of an eschatological age. The ensuing, unprecedented lockdowns rendered public spaces, from thoroughfares to centres of commerce, learning, and entertainment, utterly desolate, thereby imposing a condition of enforced solitude and silence as the new, unalterable predicament of humanity. In the absence of a proven vaccine or therapeutic regimen, the virus momentarily seemed to defy the formidable advancements of modern science and technology. The world, in a state of suspended animation, appeared to be contemplating signs of an impending apocalypse and a messianic return.

Beyond the empirical data and scientific chronicles of such calamitous events, the creative literary output of these periods captured the multifaceted essence of the pandemic, particularly the pathos of human suffering in all its unsparing poignancy. A diverse array of literary practitioners—including poets, novelists, and storytellers—bequeathed a legacy of narratives detailing the tragedy in various languages. Concurrently, these masterworks sought to offer philosophical succour by providing interpretive frameworks for the profound human malady. Moreover, human behaviour during these periods has consistently followed a distinct and troubling pattern, characterized by a prevailing despondency, social fragmentation, the frenetic hoarding of essential resources, a recourse to superstition, and an ineluctable terror of mortality. In a world upended by such scourges, measures like physical distancing and unprecedented societal lockdowns become indispensable prophylactic strategies to counteract pestilences that, as Margaret Atwood so vividly described, "travelled through the air as if on wings, it burned through cities like fire, spreading germ-ridden mobs, terror, and butchery" (20). From the philosophical reflections of the Roman emperor Marcus Aurelius in his Meditations and Giovanni Boccaccio's medieval narratives in the Decameron to Albert Camus's twentieth-century existentialist allegory, The Plague, literature has consistently served as a profound chronicle of humanity's predictable and idiosyncratic responses to the ravages of contagion and death. Camus writes in The Plague, this drastic, clean-cut deprivation and "our complete ignorance of what the future held in store had taken us unawares; we were unable to react against the mute appeal of presences, still so near and already so far, which haunted us daylong" (66-67).

Fear constitutes a pivotal and enduring theme within the literary canon of pandemic narratives. Antiquity, as evidenced in seminal works such as Homer's Iliad and Sophocles' Oedipus the King, frequently attributed the etiology of contagion to supernatural forces. interpreting such scourges as divine retribution for humanity's moral failings. In the fourteenth century, the Florentine writer and poet Giovanni Boccaccio (1313–1375) provided a profound pivot in this discourse with his masterwork, The Decameron. The Meditations, a philosophical treatise by the Roman Emperor Marcus Aurelius, chronicles the mental fortitude required to navigate the challenges of a plague. As a devoted practitioner of Stoic philosophy, Aurelius' writings remind the reader of the paramount importance of patience, self-discipline, and wisdom in the face of adversity. A similar philosophical stance is powerfully illustrated in the Arthurian legend, where the Fisher King suffers from a debilitating, festering wound, a corporeal affliction understood to be the direct consequence of his profound ethical transgressions. Similarly, Geoffrey Chaucer's Summoner in The Canterbury Tales is depicted as a man whose lecherous and corrupt nature is physically mirrored by the untreatable carbuncles that plague his skin, symbolizing a visible, outward sign of his inward moral decay.

Thomas Nashe (1567-1601), a preeminent Elizabethan playwright and a contemporary of William Shakespeare, was compelled to retreat from London during the catastrophic bubonic plague of 1592. It was in this period of quarantine and existential upheaval that he composed his pivotal opus, Summer's Last Will and Testament (1600), a work that scholars posit marked a significant development in English Renaissance drama. The play contains a celebrated passage, often extracted and revered as the lyric "A Litany in Time of Plague", which offers a haunting meditation on the profound precarity of human existence. This poetic lamentation, beginning with the indelible lines: "Adieu, farewell earth's bliss / I am sick, I must die / Lord, have mercy on us" (52-53).

Beginning in the seventeenth century, a significant discursive shift occurred in literature, with a heightened focus on human reactions to pestilential outbreaks as seen in Daniel Defoe's A Journal of the Plague Year (1722) and Mary Shelley's The Last Man (1826), a narrative that was among the first to incorporate the concept of immunization as a central literary and prophylactic trope. This trajectory continued with Jack London's The Unparalleled Invasion (1910). Samuel Pepys (1633–1703), a distinguished British naval administrator, remained steadfastly in London during the catastrophic plague of 1665 and through his meticulously kept "Diary", Pepys bequeathed to posterity an invaluable, first-

hand chronicle of the plague's profound impact on the city.

William Shakespeare occupies a preeminent position in the canon of Western literature, not only for his profound artistic achievements but also for the way his entire creative career was inextricably linked to and periodically interrupted by a series of devastating plague outbreaks. The philosophical depth and aphoristic wisdom embedded within his dramatic works are such that they have often been perceived less as plays than as a comprehensive repository of human insight, providing a resonant utterance for every conceivable occasion, from confronting life and death to articulating love, soothing emotional wounds, or finding catharsis through laughter. Shakespeare, in his dramatic compositions, largely eschewed the direct inclusion of contemporary events and local detail, a stylistic choice rooted in his adherence to the dramatic principles of the three unities—time, place, and action—as delineated by French Classicism from Aristotle's Poetics. These principles dictated that a play's narrative unfold in a single location, within the span of a single day, and with a unified plot.

Despite this aesthetic detachment from immediate reality, the recurring onslaught of the bubonic plague and the consequent periodic closure of London's theatres had a profound and undeniable impact on the trajectory of his career. Shakespearean scholars contend that these severe outbreaks, particularly the one that struck between 1592 and 1594, not only interrupted his work but also catalysed a shift in his creative output, compelling him to redirect his energies toward the sonnet cycle. Furthermore, the personal tragedy of his son Hamnet's death in 1596 is believed to have been a devastating consequence of the pestilence, an event that subsequently informed and deepened his profound explorations of paternal grief in his later plays.

William Shakespeare's very life was shaped by a narrow escape from the Great Plague of London, which reached his birthplace of Stratford-upon-Avon on July 11, 1564, when he was only an infant. The town, with a population of approximately one thousand, suffered a devastating loss of nearly two hundred inhabitants. Shakespeare's household was spared, a circumstance that may have profoundly influenced his later life and work. This early proximity to death seems to have imbued his subconscious with a sense of mortality and fate. As scholars have noted, he frequently wove references to the plague into his plays, not as direct, journalistic accounts, but as powerful exclamations or metaphorical expressions of rage and disgust. This can be seen in works such as Macbeth, Romeo and Juliet, King Lear, Timon of Athens, and Coriolanus, where the plague serves as a potent symbol for chaos, corruption, and the fragile nature of human existence.

In his dramatic contemplation of the pandemic of his era, Shakespeare presented a profound paradox: while the plague served as a great social leveller, his work countered this homogenization by emphasizing the unique and inerasable singularity of each individual. As the Shakespearean scholar Emma Smith so incisively remarks, his oeuvre functions as a "narrative vaccine" (par. 1). The plague, though not the explicit thematic focus of his plays, permeates his dramatic discourse as a philosophical underpinning, a moral warning, and a potent curse. Shakespeare did not permit the contagion to dominate his creative output; he appeared to have achieved a form of artistic immunity. When an epidemic forced the closure of theatres between 1592 and 1594, a period of widespread public dread, the then-emerging playwright pivoted to the composition of the highly successful narrative poems, Venus and Adonis (1593) and The Rape of Lucrece (1594).

A similar adaptive resilience is evident during the plague of 1603–1604, which severely hampered the coronation of King James I and claimed the lives of one in five Londoners; it was during this time that Shakespeare was likely composing Measure for Measure, a work that, with his pen, waged a powerful war against civic corruption. Similarly, the great plague outbreak of 1606 may have coincided with the composition of King Lear, though the impact of the disease remains textually inexplicit. Nevertheless, as Smith notes, "there are references to plague which have lost their specificity over time, but which must then have caused a shiver" (par. 5). This is demonstrated when a panic-stricken Lear, in a paroxysm of rage, curses his daughter Regan with the imprecation, "a plague-sore or embossed carbuncle / In my corrupted blood" (2.4.990). This

potent metaphor not only reflects a preoccupation with the plague but also reveals a shared Elizabethan obsession with unblemished skin, a concern amplified by the confluence of plague, smallpox, and syphilis in the urban environment of London.

The linguistic dexterity Shakespeare deploys in King Lear is nothing short of exceptional. The suffering of Lear's daughter, Regan, may be interpreted as a poignant allusion to the pathological symptoms of the plague, specifically the inflamed lymph glands that were a deadly hallmark of the disease. This is particularly resonant given that the plague disproportionately affected the younger generation of the era, a tragic reality that Shakespeare subtly embeds within Regan's plight. Furthermore, the language employed by Lear in his moments of utter desperation serves as a profound reflection of his children's cruelty, illustrating how the vocabulary of the pestilence became a potent metaphorical tool for expressing the psychological agony of betrayal.

While the plague does not constitute a central theme, it is clear that its spectre was ever-present in Shakespeare's consciousness. His focus was on the psychological scars left on the human psyche. His occasional, piercing allusions to the plague, woven into the dialogue at moments of high dramatic intensity, achieved a psychological resonance that a more detailed narrative would have failed to capture. This is powerfully demonstrated in Lear's moment of self-recrimination, wherein his personal misery finally compels him to recognize the suffering of the impoverished. In a moment of profound empathy, he exclaims:

Poor naked wretches, wheresoever you are
That bide the pelting of this pitiless storm,
How shall your houseless heads and unfed sides,
Your looped and windowed raggedness defend you
From seasons such as these? O, I have taken
Too little care of this. (3.4.994)

Shakespeare, in his work, alluded to the prevailing societal milieu engendered by the pestilence, and, more pointedly, gestured toward the profound lassitude and indifference of the sovereign authority toward the suffering of the populace.

In Romeo and Juliet, a play believed to have been composed around 1595, Shakespeare provides a memorable imprecation in the form of Mercutio's dying curse: "A plague o' both your houses" (3.1.287). This phrase, uttered at the moment of his demise, transmutes the literal terror of pestilence into a potent metaphor for the societal contagion of the Capulet-Montague feud. Later, in Timon of Athens, penned after 1603, the title character responds to profound societal disillusionment by entering a solipsistic withdrawal, sequestering himself in a cave. This act of self-imposed exile is accompanied by a sweeping malediction upon the entire citizenry of Athens, upon whom he wishes a plague. His contempt for human interaction is so absolute that he invokes, "Breath infect breath, / at their society, as their friendship, may merely poison" (4.1.1124), thereby equating all social contact with a fatal transmission of moral decay.

In Macbeth, the vocabulary of disease further infiltrates the psychological and moral fabric of the narrative. When Macbeth contemplates the regicide of Duncan, his apprehension is not merely of retribution, but of a conceptual plague—a kind of moral contagion—that might plague the inventor, suggesting that the very act of murder could, in a circular and inescapable manner, infect the perpetrator with its own destructive pathology.

The indelible psychological trauma of guilt, and its resistance to physical expiation, may be a central concern in Shakespeare's work, a theme subtly connected to the public health anxieties of his time. This is powerfully illustrated in Macbeth, where Lady Macbeth, overwhelmed by her crimes, is driven to a state of profound distress and sleepwalking. Her tormented lament, "Here's the smell of blood still / All perfumes of Arabia will not sweeten this little hand" (5.1.966), serves as a poignant dramatization of a corrupted conscience. As she clutches a candle and wanders in a state of deep psychic disquiet, Shakespeare suggests a profound scepticism regarding the efficacy of mere physical acts, such as handwashing, to purify an ethically stained soul.

The COVID-19 pandemic has fundamentally reconfigured the parameters of twenty-first-century human existence. A widespread predicament of confinement to the home ensued, giving rise to feelings of ennui, listlessness, and a lack of motivation. However, human responses to this condition were

not monolithic. A distinct schism emerged; while some individuals succumbed to the psychological duress of stress and solitude, a significant portion of the populace adeptly reconfigured their professional lives, discovering that telework was not only economically prudent and convenient but also conducive to heightened productivity. For others, this forced isolation catalysed an intellectual renaissance, prompting them to revisit long-neglected literary classics and even to embark upon the creation of their own significant works of literature, philosophy, and science. While the ubiquitous webinar served as a functional substitute for in-person communication, it proved incapable of replicating the warmth and intimacy of face-to-face engagement.

The virus has, in a profound and coercive manner, compelled humanity to a stark recognition of its own biological fragility and, by extension, to a re-evaluation of what constitutes genuine human worth. This global pandemic provides a critical moment for collective introspection, forcing us to engage with the often-overlooked, subtle layers of experience that typically dictate our actions. In this state of duress, the virus compels a return to the sanctuary of the home and a reaffirmation of the profound value of familial warmth and affection. Ultimately, this crisis illuminates our innate capacity for empathy, a quality that serves as the ultimate guarantor of our survival.

The literary and artistic creations served less as canonical masterpieces than as authentic chronicles of quotidian events, differentiated from the pre-pandemic era by a distinct zeitgeist. Many of these works were imbued with the profound intimation of mortality and a pervasive resignation, while others celebrated the opportunity for profound solitude and the egalitarianism imposed by the circumstances. A century from now, a future generation will scrutinize this material for clues as to how their ancestors endured the agony of this pandemic. The post-COVID-19 world will, in every respect, be fundamentally transformed. They will regard our artistic and intellectual creations as a new survival "mantra" —a story of endurance, creativity and unrelenting human spirit, written not in our genes, but in our shared culture.

Works Cited

1. Atwood, Margaret. The Year of the Flood. McClelland & Stewart Ltd, 2009.
2. Camus, Albert. The Plague. Vintage, 1991.
3. London, Jack. The Scarlet Plague. Bibliolis, 2010.
4. Lubow, Arthur. "Edvard Munch: Beyond the Scream". Smithsonian Magazine, 17 March 2006. https://www.smithsonianmag.com/arts-culture/edvard-munch-beyond-the-scream-111810150. Accessed 25 July 2025
5. Nashe, Thomas. "A Litany in Time of Plague". A Book of Elizabethan Lyrics, edited by Felix E. Schelling. Ginn and Company, 1895. pp. 52-53.
6. Shakespeare, William. "King Lear". The Complete Works of William Shakespeare, edited by Melinda Allman et al., Canterbury Classics, 2014. pp. 972-1015.
7. ___ ___ ___. "Macbeth". The Complete Works of William Shakespeare, edited by Melinda Allman et al., Canterbury Classics, 2014. pp. 942-970.
8. ___ ___ ___. "Romeo and Juliet". The Complete Works of William Shakespeare, edited by Melinda Allman et al., Canterbury Classics, 2014. pp. 269-305.
9. ___ ___ ___. "Timon of Athens". The Complete Works of William Shakespeare, edited by Melinda Allman et al., Canterbury Classics, 2014. pp. 1106-1135.
10. Smith, Emma. "What Shakespeare Teaches Us about Living with Pandemics". The New York Times, 28 July 2020.
11. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/28/opinion/coronavirus-shakespeare.html. Accessed 20 July 2025.